A Community-Governed Legal Knowledge Graph for Transparent Legal Reasoning Using AI Tools

Nitesh Daryanani

John Spry

2025

Executive Summary

Lexon is a community-governed legal knowledge graph (LKG) built to solve the core data crisis in law. Legal research today is built on unstructured text, leading to duplicated work and a critical trust deficit in AI. Large Language Models (LLMs) "hallucinate" citations and misinterpret doctrine because they lack a verifiable, structured knowledge base.

Lexon's solution offers a "cognitive core" for legal reasoning. Instead of simply retrieving documents based on boolean keyword searches, Lexon captures legal reasoning—holdings, doctrines, factual distinctions, policy implications, and dissents—in a queryable graph. This graph is collaboratively built and maintained by lawyers, educators, and researchers, creating a trusted, transparent, and evolving data layer for human insight and next-generation AI.

The Problem

Legal research is built on a foundation of unstructured, unverified, and duplicated work. This creates cascading failures:

- **Law is Unstructured:** Legacy tools are search engines, not reasoning engines. They return full opinions based on keywords, forcing lawyers to manually extract legal reasoning, issues, and doctrinal paths from every result.

- **Work is Duplicated:** Lacking a shared infrastructure, lawyers at every firm, in every chambers, and in every clinic repeatedly research and reframe the same foundational arguments, wasting billions of dollars in duplicative effort.

- **The AI Trust Deficit:** This unstructured, unverified "data" is now being fed into LLMs. The result is predictable: models that "hallucinate" fake citations and confidently misinterpret core legal doctrines. These AIs are sophisticated mimics, not reasoning partners. They lack a verifiable, structured knowledge base to reason from, creating a fundamental barrier to high-stakes AI adoption.

- **Insight is Siloed:** Critical legal data is opaque and/or locked behind proprietary, corporate-owned headnotes and editorial systems. There is no open, debatable, or community-vetted map of the law.

Lexon solves these problems by enabling communities of legal stewards to structure, critique, and expand legal reasoning through a shared, evolving legal knowledge graph.

Market Position: Legal Intelligence as the Future of Legal Research

Westlaw and LexisNexis, the incumbents in legal research, offer vast databases and editorially curated headnotes, citators (tools that track whether a case is still good law by showing its subsequent history and how later cases have treated it), and topic digests.

Midpage has introduced notable innovations:

- **Proposition Search** helps find quotes supporting specific legal rules.

- **Spreadsheet-Style UI** lets lawyers filter cases quickly by fact patterns or motions.

- **Notebook + Chat** keeps research organized.

In all three platforms, the structure of legal knowledge (reflected in editorial components of Lexis and Westlaw, like Headnotes) is determined by internal editorial teams or platform designers.

However, they are closed systems, tightly controlled by corporate publishers, with no room for community contribution or debates over interpretation and policy. This means the utility of these systems depends heavily on getting the framing and selection of content right.

Lexon takes a community-centered approach. It is structured by legal stewards who bring diverse experience, peer review, and ongoing debate to the organization of legal knowledge. Instead of surfacing only resolved answers, Lexon captures unresolved questions, contested interpretations, and insights from non-legal disciplines that impact judicial decision making (such as economics and forensics).

In short: Legacy platforms and Midpage help users find cases and propositions. Lexon is being built to help users—and AI—understand them. It structures legal insight, captures nuance and disagreement, and provides the verifiable "ground truth" necessary for high-stakes reasoning.

//

//

//

//

Feature	Westlaw / LexisNexis	Midpage	Lexon
Proposition Search	❌ No	✓ Yes	✓ Captured in graph, with forks
Spreadsheet UI	❌ No	✓ Yes	❌ Graph-based reasoning structure
Secondary Sources	✓ Curated editorial links	❌ No	✓ Community-linked & reviewable
Interdisciplinary Layers	❌ No	❌ No	✓ Yes
Editable Structure	❌ Fixed	❌ Fixed	✓ Community-governed
Handling Disagreement	❌ Not transparent	❌ Not designed for forks	✓ Forkable, versioned
Legal Data Use	❌ Closed systems	✓ AI-driven (on unstructured data)	✓ AI-ready (as a structured cognitive core)

Building the Legal Graph: What Graph Stewards Do

Lexon is built for and by the expert legal community—the kind of professionals who join groups like The Sedona Conference, the American Law Institute (ALI), or Inns of Court.

These experts, called Graph Stewards, organize legal knowledge and reasoning into a knowledge graph—a structured map that links key elements of cases (facts, doctrines, issues, fact patterns, arguments, etc.) and shows how legal ideas and principles evolve.

1. **Annotate Cases**

Contributors identify and label holdings, legal tests/doctrines, facts, issues, policy considerations, etc. based on a proprietary schema developed by Lexon.

2. **Map Relationships**

They show how a case "applies," "limits," or "distinguishes" earlier precedents, linking cases to broader doctrines.

3. **Add Commentary & Forks**

Legal stewards explain or challenge interpretations. When consensus isn't possible, they fork annotations to allow competing views.

4. **Interdisciplinary Layers**

Legal outcomes are enriched with insights from psychology, sociology, economics, or history. For example:

> o Psychological insights in sexual abuse cases.
>
> o Sociological research in labor law.
>
> o Economic analysis in antitrust cases.

Why Do Graph Stewards Contribute?

Lexon's model is built on a professional, sustainable economic foundation. Building the definitive "cognitive core" for law is a significant undertaking, not a volunteer project. It requires a substantial upfront investment to map the vast body of existing precedent. This intensive "catch-up" phase—designed to be executed by a foundational corps of legal experts—is essential to create the high-value, evergreen asset. Once established, the graph becomes far simpler and more cost-effective to maintain as new law develops, unlocking its significant upside.

We are currently focused on securing the investment for this initial phase to engage and compensate our foundational stewards.

For the stewards themselves, motivations are a blend of economic and professional drivers. In addition to direct compensation for their expertise, they are driven by stewardship, legacy, and solving a collective-action problem:

- **Set the Standard for Legal AI:** Stewards are domain-leading experts. They contribute to collaboratively define the controlling doctrines and precedents that will train the next generation of lawyers and, critically, the trusted cognitive core for the next generation of AI.

- **Solve the Collective Action Problem:** Every firm and chambers re-researches the same foundational issues. Lexon provides the shared, trusted infrastructure to build a collective repository of case law insights instead of repeatedly re-creating the wheel, freeing lawyers to focus on novel, case-specific arguments.

- **Build a Verifiable Legacy:** Contributions are permanently attributed and time-stamped on a verifiable ledger. This creates a new form of professional recognition and builds a lasting legacy of expertise, similar to authoring a leading treatise or an ALI Restatement.

- **Champion Transparent Reasoning:** Contributors believe the logic of the law should be visible and debatable, not a "black box" controlled by corporate editors or a "hallucinating" AI. Lexon provides the platform for this transparent, peer-reviewed debate.

<u>The Lexon Graph Schema: Organizing Legal Reasoning</u>

Lexon's graph schema is designed to model the core reasoning structure of legal decisions. It organizes knowledge around three primary principles: Doctrines, Policies, and Fact Patterns.

These principles allow for multi-dimensional analysis, enabling users to explore cases based on:

1. **Doctrines:** The abstract legal rules, tests, and precedents (e.g., the *Rule of Reason*).

2. **Policies:** The public policy goals or economic theories the law serves (e.g., *promoting consumer welfare*).

3. **Fact Patterns:** The specific, real-world circumstances that trigger a dispute (e.g., *tying agreements* or *predatory pricing*).

To capture the *process* of legal reasoning and show how these principles connect, the schema then uses a four-part interpretive model we call the **Dispute to Decision (D2D) Framework**. This framework reflects how legal institutions translate a factual dispute into an enforceable outcome:

1. **Allegation (Fact Pattern):** Parties present claims grounded in specific factual allegations. This is the entry point for *Fact Pattern*-based queries.

2. **Issue/Legal Argument (Normative Framing):** Facts are interpreted through legal theories—statutes, *Doctrines*, and *Policies*—which frame the dispute as a question of law.

3. **Ruling (Judicial Determination):** Judges resolve the legal arguments, determining which interpretations hold and creating new precedential links within the *Doctrine* and *Policy* graphs.

4. **Relief (Practical Consequence):** The ruling is made real through a material or procedural outcome—damages awarded, injunctions issued, or claims dismissed.

Each component of this cycle is mapped in the graph as a distinct node type with structured properties. This allows researchers, litigators, and developers to trace how a specific Fact Pattern contributes to a Legal Argument, how that argument was adjudicated within a Doctrinal framework, and what Relief followed.

By making these relationships explicit, the schema provides a foundation for more transparent legal reasoning, comparative case analysis, and next-generation AI legal tools capable of understanding law not just as text, but as structured reasoning.

Business & Economic Model

The Cognitive Core as a Central Asset: The legal tech AI market is seeing incredibly high valuations, yet nearly all players face the same fundamental bottleneck: a lack of trusted, structured, and verifiable legal data. Current AI tools are being built on a "garbage" foundation of unstructured text, leading to hallucinations and a critical deficit in trust.

Lexon is designed to solve this. Our core asset is the "cognitive core" for law—a clean, expert-verified, and structured legal knowledge graph. Our economic model is built to ensure this high-value asset is constructed collaboratively and that its value is shared with its creators.

Sustainable Revenue: The "Cognitive Core" API: Lexon's primary economic engine will be providing paid API access to this structured graph. While lawyers and firms will be a key revenue source for premium tools, the exponential and transformational value lies in licensing Lexon's "cognitive core" to:

- **Legal AI Developers:** Providing the essential, trusted data layer for all third-party legal AI applications.

- **LLM Providers:** Offering a high-quality, domain-specific dataset to train, fine-tune, and ground next-generation models.

- **Firms & Institutions:** Powering their internal, proprietary AI systems with a verifiable reasoning engine.

This creates a sustainable model where revenue is directly tied to the quality, depth, and utility of the community-built graph. For their participation in building this asset, contributors (or "Stewards") earn tokens. These tokens grant them a share in the utility and value of the platform, directly aligning incentives: the experts who create the value participate in its economic success.

Governance Model

Community Governance: An asset this critical cannot be a "black box" controlled by a single corporation's editorial team. Lexon is developed and governed by its community of "Graph Stewards" through:

- Peer-reviewed annotation and dispute resolution

- Reputation-weighted voting

- Transparent forks when consensus fails

We leverage blockchain primitives to solve two critical problems:

1. **Data Provenance (Verifiable Audit Trail):** In law, the *source* of a claim is everything. Every contribution, annotation, and edit on Lexon is immutably time-stamped and attributed to its Graph Steward on a permanent ledger. More than just a version history, this is a verifiable audit trail for legal data, creating the ground truth necessary for court-grade trust in AI.

2. **Contributor Investment (The Token):** The tokens Stewards earn for their contributions are not speculative instruments; they are verifiable stakes in the collective asset. This model reframes blockchain's NFT concept for its original purpose: proving provenance and ownership. Each contribution is a unique, attributable asset, giving Stewards a real, invested stake in the very "cognitive core" they are building.

These tokens function as a core governance tool, granting voting rights on proposals and the power to curate domain-specific subgraphs. This model ensures the platform is governed by the experts who create its value and are most invested in its quality and long-term success.

Roadmap

The logic of the law should not be trapped in a black box or get lost in unstructured text. Lexon provides the shared infrastructure for a transparent, auditable, and collaborative legal future.

Quarter	Milestone	Description
Q4 2025	MVP Release	Launch the initial platform focused on a 70-case Antitrust graph. Features include natural language search, annotated holdings and dissents, and wiki-style case pages.
Q1 2026	Grow Steward Community	Onboard domain-leading legal experts, researchers, and educators to begin scaling graph contributions and peer review.
Q2 2026	Add and Expand Legal Modules	Launch new, self-contained graphs for additional high-value practice areas (e.g., Securities, Intellectual Property) based on steward community priorities.
Q3 2026	Live API for AI Models	Provide the first commercial API access to Lexon's structured "cognitive core," enabling legal AI developers and firms to ground their models in verifiable, expert-curated data.

We stand on the shoulders of giants:

- **Benjamin Cardozo:** "The law, like the traveler, must be ready for the morrow. It must have a principle of growth."

- **Tim Berners-Lee:** "The web is more a social creation than a technical one. I designed it for a social effect—to help people work together—and not as a technical toy."

- **Ruth Bader Ginsburg:** "Fight for the things that you care about, but do it in a way that will lead others to join you."

To contribute or collaborate, reach out to: nitesh@lexon.law.